



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/957,472	09/21/2001	Tetsuya Hanamoto	204552021500	4058	
25227 7:	590 08/13/2003			•	
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER		
1650 TYSONS SUITE 300	BOULEVARD		BAUMEISTER, BRADLEY W		
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815	15	
			DATE MAILED: 08/13/2003	13	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Restrict

m

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/957,472

Applicant(s)

Hanamoto et al.

Examiner

B. William Baumeister

Art Unit 2815

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	date of this communication.  Beriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the seriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	d will expire SIX (6) I application to becom	MONTHS fr ne ABAND(	rom the mailing date of this communication.  ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 14, 200	03		·		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) X This action	on is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
	tion of Claims		,			
4) 💢	Claim(s) 2, 4, 6, 8-63, and 65-79			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
	Claim(s)					
	Claim(s)					
	Claims 2, 4, 6, 8-63, and 65-79					
Application Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).			
14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachm		p. 10110, 011001				
-	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PT	O-413) Paper No(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				nt Application (PTO-152)		
3) 🔲 In	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Dother:					

Application/Control Number: 09/957,472

Art Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 2, 4, 6, 8-63, and 65-71 drawn to light emitters with fluorescent downconverters, classified in class 257, subclass 79+.
  - II. Claims 72-79, drawn to the emitters of invention I in further combination with a1/4-ellipse reflector, classified in class 313, subclass 1+.
    - IIA. Claims 72 and 76, drawn the species of invention II wherein the fluorescent material is dispersed within the resin, as depicted in FIG 14.
    - IIB. Claims 73 and 77, drawn the species of invention II wherein the fluorescent material is formed on the surface of the resin as depicted in FIG 15.
    - IIC. Claims 74 and 78, drawn the species of invention II wherein the fluorescent material is formed on the surface of the reflector and the structure further includes a light-emitter shielding body as depicted in FIG 16.
    - IID. Claims 75 and 79, drawn the species of invention II wherein the fluorescent material is formed on the surface of the reflector and the structure further includes a substrate recess in which the light emitter is disposed as depicted in FIG 17.

Application/Control Number: 09/957,472 Page 3

Art Unit: 2815

2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- a. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because combination claims 76-79 do not require any specific compositions for the fluorescent materials that are variously set forth in subcombination claims 2, 4, 6, 8-63 and 65-71. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a light emitter structure that is formed in the bottom of a semicircular reflector cup instead of within a 1/4 ellipse reflector.
- b. Inventions IIA-IID are related as patentably distinct species having the mutually exclusive characteristics set forth above.
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, and/or separate examination would be required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 4

4. If Applicant elects invention II, Applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 2815

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## INFORMATION ON HOW TO CONTACT THE USPTO

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 7. should be directed to the examiner, B. William Baumeister, at (703) 306-9165. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. If the Examiner is not available, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Eddie Lee, can be reached at (703) 308-1690. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. Blm Bent

B. William Baumeister

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815

August 12, 2003